

Request for Proposals to Improve Behavioral Interventions, Reduce School Disciplinary Removals, and Reconnect Suspended and Expelled Students to Baltimore City Public Schools

There is an astonishing amount of research that highlights the negative academic, social, and health effects of harsh school disciplinary practices, the inequitable and subjective application of school discipline codes, the cost to schools and students in terms of lost administrative and instructional time, and the increased drop out rates of suspended and expelled students. An issue brief developed by Advocates for Children and Youth (ACY) provides a summary of this research and an overview of the negative outcomes caused by school suspensions and expulsions. It is available on ACY's website at www.acy.org and on OSI's website at www.osi-baltimore.org.

The Problem

Despite the strong evidence that suspensions are generally ineffective and even harmful, national and local school suspension rates have risen dramatically over the past ten years. Baltimore's annual suspension rate has exceeded 10% for the last ten years, peaking at 16.1% in the 2003-04 year.¹ Prior to that time, Baltimore reported single digit suspension rates. In the 2004-05 school year, the Baltimore City Public School System (BCPSS) reported an 11.7% rate, issuing 16,641 suspensions to 10,899 of its 88,401 students. Nearly 3,800 of those students suspended were in pre-kindergarten through 6th grades, comprising 35% of all suspended or expelled students.

Maryland and Baltimore are not alone in this trend of rising school exclusion. Across the US, schools have adopted the harsh "zero-tolerance" stance that pervades our criminal justice system. Despite research documenting the harm of this approach, many BCPSS schools still employ harmful, ineffective behavior management policies and practices. Examining school and juvenile justice issues in the context of one another, we see strong evidence that the excessive use of suspension and expulsion is linked to high rates of truancy, academic failure, school drop-out, arrests and juvenile detention. Some of the indications and consequences of zero-tolerance disciplinary practices in Baltimore are as follows:

- a substantial number of youth are suspended from Baltimore's public schools for minor offenses, for example, 13% of suspensions in 2004-05 were for poor school attendance and 30% for disrespect or insubordination;
- few schools have dispute resolution alternatives, in-school disciplinary options, teacher training or school culture building programs that can change the disciplinary outcomes of schools;
- Baltimore's school disciplinary policies often lead children directly into the juvenile justice system – in fact, 12% of the cases that come into the juvenile justice system originate with the school police;

¹ The suspension rate is the number of suspensions per 100 students. Some students are suspended more than once in a school year.

- suspended children, as well as those who are involved in the juvenile justice system on home monitoring or evening reporting, rarely receive educational instruction during the period of time they are excluded from school, and many never return to school;
- while they are out of school, suspended and expelled youth not only fall behind in their studies, but also are at high risk for drug abuse, pregnancy, criminal involvement and victimization, and a host of other negative outcomes;
- agencies are not aware of individual children's educational needs when they are transferred between public systems;
- many excluded students do not return to school as soon as they are permitted and others never return, in part because schools do not have accurate and effective systems to track when and to which school students should return;
- those who do return to the school system from school- or court-based disciplinary actions seldom receive transitional services and are frequently not welcomed by school officials.

While suspension and expulsion levels remain high when compared to those from ten years ago, BCPSS and other Maryland counties have very recently begun a concerted effort to reduce school exclusions, in general, and violent offenses, more specifically. From 2003-04 to 2004-05, total Maryland suspensions and expulsions dropped by nearly 17,000. In part, these efforts are the result of greater understanding of the harmful effects of harsh discipline policies. Additionally, Baltimore City and its sister counties have been forced to lower high rates of long-term suspensions and expulsions for violent offenses by the federal *No Child Left Behind* legislation. This legislation has resulted in six Baltimore schools being defined as “persistently dangerous”² and 11 being placed on the state’s “watch list.” As a consequence of these negative designations, BCPSS has become very interested in developing programs and policies to reduce these offenses and their disciplinary consequences. Indeed, when 2003-04 and 2004-05 school year data are compared, it is clear that BCPSS officials have already begun to limit the use of suspension as a disciplinary tool. In one year, total suspensions and expulsions in the district have fallen from 26,324 to 16,241. This is the largest drop of any system in the state, and accounts for nearly 60% of the drop state-wide.

Option A – Improving School Climate and Reducing Suspensions and Expulsions

Reductions in school suspensions are to be cheered, but it is clear from school and district staff and from parents and students that schools are struggling mightily to find effective disciplinary alternatives to replace the overused suspension tool. BCPSS has provided some training for staff in behavior management strategies and has instituted the Positive Behavior Interventions and Support (PBIS) program (www.pbis.org) in persistently dangerous middle schools and in elementary schools with high suspension rates. Additionally, some schools have been able to adopt individual programs such as

² Schools with long term suspension or expulsion rates for violent offenses that are equal to or greater than 2 ½% of the school’s population for three years in a row are classified as “persistently dangerous.” Students in these schools are allowed to transfer to other, less dangerous schools. In addition to providing transfer options, school districts with high numbers of designated schools are under great pressure from state and federal officials to improve the schools’ climate.

Student Court or in-school suspension classrooms. Nonetheless, most schools lack adequate alternatives and have both too many disruptive students and too few effective behavior management tools.

In this context, we believe an RFP to fund additional programs and strategies to reduce suspension and expulsion and to improve school climate would be an effective way to build on BCPSS's efforts and to introduce new ideas and programs to schools. Based on our reading of the research on this issue, it is clear that schools will need a series of connected programs and supportive policies to address the continuum of student behavioral needs. Good teachers and engaging curricula are necessary, but not sufficient, parts of a strategy to improve student achievement. Schools must work to create an orderly and supportive climate—particularly where there are high rates of violence, widespread poverty, and many underachieving students. Finally, teachers, parents and administrators must understand that school exclusion practices, in most cases, are harmful and ineffective.

On the most basic prevention level, an effective strategy to reduce expulsion and suspension requires that the school and its staff develop a culture and organization that supports positive student behavior. Staff must adopt appropriate behavior management techniques, develop and teach students the school rules and behavioral expectations, and institute those programs and policies that will address students' needs, including basic physical and mental health requirements. This level of intervention is aimed at, and supports, all children in a school. Examples include initiatives to train teachers in behavior management, help children understand and practice appropriate student behavior, give students a sense of ownership and engagement in school, and provide opportunities to interact positively with adults. There is evidence that an effective, comprehensive set of these first tier interventions delivered to all enrolled students can eliminate up to 80% of the misbehavior that is typical in high suspension schools.

A second type of intervention is necessary for those children who need additional help adapting to the school environment and who exhibit more consequential or repeated misbehavior. In-school suspension, "time-out" rooms, and peer mediation are examples of this type of strategy. Evidence from PBIS suggests that this second tier of interventions and supports can address the more serious behavior issues for about 15% of students who are not successful with Tier 1 interventions. Finally, a third type of program must be available for serious mental health and misbehavior problems and for students who need regular help managing their behavior and productively engaging in school. Intensive mental health counseling, formal social skills training and individual tutoring are programs in this tier. These most intensive services are designed to ameliorate the behavior issues of the remaining 5% of students.³

Fortunately, due to the increased awareness of the negative consequences of school exclusionary discipline practices, a growing minority of BCPSS schools have begun to institute school-wide behavior programs. These initiatives - PBIS being a prime

³ The Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) website (www.pbis.org) provides more information about a triage approach to building a safe and supportive school climate.

example - have as their goal eliciting more positive student behavior and enhancing their school's culture. Nevertheless, few of these schools, if any, have the continuum of programs required to meet their students' needs.

With this RFP, we are interested in funding those proposals that will help to round out existing initiatives in a school so that principals and teachers have access to the programs, tools and training necessary to address the full range of student behavioral needs and to improve school climate. For this reason, preference will be given to those schools that have already begun to institute a school-wide behavior management program, particularly PBIS. In addition, because of local foundations' ongoing interest in high school reform, we encourage strong proposals from Innovation High Schools and restructured high schools.

Option B – Reconnecting Youth to School

As Baltimore's 40% or higher drop out rate attests, many youth have become disconnected from school and have either dropped out or are in the process of dropping out. Youth leave school for different, and often multiple, reasons. Students have personal reasons independent of school that can make school attendance difficult or impossible – such as the need to care for dependent children or siblings. They may also decide to stop attending for school-related reasons – such as fear of other students or the defeat of regular academic failure. In addition, suspension, expulsion and juvenile justice involvement have also helped to create another well-worn path out of school. This last pathway is the focus of Option B.

When students are suspended, expelled or involved in the juvenile justice system, they typically cannot attend school. The harm that occurs because of students' forced non-attendance is exacerbated by the fact that few students receive academic services while they are excluded from school. Despite the fact that these students have a legal right to educational services, schools do not seek students out during a suspension or expulsion, nor do correctional, health care or other agencies serving youth regularly link them to the educational services they need. In particular, youth who stop attending school due to disciplinary exclusions or juvenile justice involvement tend to become invisible to the school system and to school staff.

After the disciplinary periods or life events that have kept students from school are resolved, neither the school system nor other youth serving agencies regularly or effectively help students re-enter school and successfully acclimatize to the student role. Without academic help and services, suspended, expelled and juvenile justice-involved youth fall further behind and become more likely to make their exit from school permanent.

Option B of this RFP is directed at programs and agencies that work to reconnect youth to school and educational services. It aims to support programs that link youth who have been removed from school for disciplinary and/or criminal charges to transitional education services that help maintain their academic progress. This funding stream will also support proposals that establish a regular conduit for youth back into the

school system, help youth successfully re-enter and engage in school, and work with youth to prevent subsequent school disconnection and drop out. It will also provide funds to train staff to serve disconnected youth more effectively – teaching them, for example, how to link youth to educational services and reconnect them to school and to engage parents in accessing educational and other services for their children.

Unfortunately, there is an insufficient number of providers and services that address the educational needs of youth who are suspended or involved in the juvenile justice system. To encourage other youth serving agencies to expand into this arena, OSI will also accept proposals for program development.

Goals of the Funders Supporting this RFP

OSI-Baltimore, along with the Zanvyl and Isabelle Krieger Fund, the Ben & Zelda Cohen Charitable Foundation, the Jacob and Hilda Blaustein Foundation, United Way of Central Maryland, The Annie E. Casey Foundation and other individual donors have launched an initiative to address these issues. Earlier this summer, these collaborating funders awarded \$515,000 of a \$1.5 million pool in grant funding to organizations working to reduce school exclusionary practices and improve school climate. With this second RFP release, the funders plan to make additional awards to organizations that will introduce and expand programs that:

- (1) provide alternatives to school suspension and expulsion;
- (2) communicate and model positive behavior to help students understand school behavioral expectations;
- (3) increase behavior management skills among school staff with the goal of improving school climate;
- (4) reduce the negative impact of school exclusion by helping youth access educational services while they are prohibited from attending school; and,
- (5) help ensure that youth can quickly and successfully re-enter school after suspension, expulsion, and/or juvenile justice involvement.

The goals of this initiative are to:

- (1) reduce incidents of student misbehavior and violence;
- (2) reduce disciplinary referrals, school suspension, expulsion and arrests;
- (3) reduce school drop out;
- (4) improve school climate, attendance, and student engagement in school;
- (5) link children who are suspended or expelled to educational services;
- (6) successfully reconnect students to school after disciplinary and/or juvenile justice involvement;
- (7) identify those programs that have the greatest impact and are most cost-effective; and,
- (8) increase the number of youth serving agencies that address the educational needs of suspended, expelled and juvenile justice involved youth.

The Open Society Institute and the collaborating funders will award grants to non-profit organizations and agencies to work with partnering BCPSS schools. Option B Program Development grants will be one year grants for a maximum of \$20,000. All other grants will be made for a period of up to two years, subject to satisfactory progress in year one. Most grants will be awarded in the range of \$25,000 to \$80,000 per year. Applications will be due on October 10, 2006 with program start up in early 2007.

Applicant organizations must currently be working in the youth services field, have demonstrated success, and intend to use grant funds requested under the RFP to develop, expand and/or enhance their programs. They must also commit to:

- (1) meet with other educators, service providers, public agency administrators and advocates to address the gaps in service provision and to reform agency policies;
- (2) collect data on their youth and program outcomes;
- (3) disseminate periodic reports on their program methodology, implementation and outcomes; and,
- (4) make the case for additional funding, particularly public funding, to support the continuation and expansion of effective programs.

Application Process

Proposal Guidelines – please submit seven (7) copies of the application and appendices, which include:

I. Cover Page

Applicants submitting a grant proposal should submit a cover page on institutional letterhead that includes the following:

- a one-sentence description of the proposed initiative
- a statement that indicates whether they are applying for Option A, Option B Program Development, or Option B Program Implementation;
- the amount of funds requested;
- the total organizational budget amount;
- the name and website of the organization that would serve as the fiscal sponsor for the grant if awarded; and,
- the name, address, e-mail and telephone number of the program's primary contact.

II. Proposal Narrative (no longer than 14 pages, double spaced, 12pt font)

- A. Need (10 points)
Describe the need or issue to be addressed by the program. Use specific data to support the establishment of the need.

- B. Organization Experience and Capacity (20 points)
Describe the organization's current work in this field and its capacity to carry out the proposal.
- C. Proposed Program (30 points)
Describe the proposed program. Be clear about how the program will introduce, expand, and/or enhance the organization's current work and/or school partnership. Funds will not be awarded to support existing programs, only to extend and advance programming. In the description, include information about program scope, activities, methodology, numbers of youth to be served, staffing, goals and expected outcomes. Proposed programs must address and measure as many of the following outcomes as possible:
- BCPSS school climate survey indicators;
 - disciplinary referrals;
 - referrals to special education for children with emotional disturbance;
 - school suspensions and expulsions;
 - student arrests;
 - student attendance;
 - student enrollment in school
 - drop-out rates; and,
 - participation in educational services for suspended, expelled or juvenile-justice involved children
- D. Program Context and Partnership(s) (20 points)
Programs applying under Option A – Describe the school(s) in which the program will work, including current rates of suspension and expulsion, school attendance and school climate measures, as well as efforts to improve student behavior and school culture, and information about complementary programs at the school. Describe the commitment of the participating school(s), financial and otherwise, to the program. This commitment should be outlined in the Option A Letter of Commitment (see appendices below).
- Programs Applying under Option B** – Describe the program's context, the organization(s) with which it will work, strategies developed to attract youth for services, partnership(s) with the school system and/or individual schools that will allow it to reconnect youth effectively with school. The commitment of participating agencies and school(s)/school system must be outlined in the required Option B Letter of Commitment (see appendices below).
- E. Program Evaluation and Dissemination (15 points)
Describe plans to assess the program's impact. Indicate how progress towards the proposed program outcomes listed above will be measured, what data will be collected and who will be responsible for data collection and analysis. Also outline plans for dissemination of program findings.
- F. Sustainability (5 points)

Outline potential and actual funding sources that will support this project and plans for sustaining the programming beyond this funding opportunity.

III. Appendices

Appendices to the proposal should include:

- a budget for the project or program for every year funding is requested;
- Option A: a letter from the principal(s) of the participating school(s) detailing the partnership and support for the program, including tracking participant outcomes
- Option B: a letter from the school principal and/or agency administrator, as appropriate detailing the partnership and support for the program, including tracking participant outcomes;
- a list of current and proposed funders of the project or program;
- a page-long program implementation timeline for the 24 month grant period;
- resumes of the organization's director, project director and other key staff;
- a list of the organization's board members and affiliations
- a copy of the IRS letter stating the organization's tax-exempt status,
- a copy of the organization's overall budget; and,
- a copy of the most recent annual report and audit (or financial statement).

Important Dates

RFP released on September 18, 2006

**Orientation session September 27, 2006, 10 to 11:30 a.m. at
OSI-Baltimore, 201 N. Charles Street, Suite 1300**

Full proposals are due by October 10, 2006

Final decisions will be made by December 4, 2006

Submission Requirements

Proposals must be received by October 10, 2006 no later than 4:30 p.m.

Seven copies of the proposal should be submitted to:

Justin Schaberg
OSI-Baltimore
201 North Charles Street
Suite 1300
Baltimore, MD 21201

Contact Information

Jane Sundius, Director, Education and Youth Development Program, jsundius@sorosny.org

Aurie Hall, Director, Criminal Justice Program, ahall@sorosny.org

Justin Schaberg, Program Assistant, jschaberg@sorosny.org

Phone: (410) 234-1091